UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/693,017 | 10/24/2003 | Dale K. Hitt | 625500-501 | 1755 |
| | 7590 04/22/201 ELLECTUAL PROPE | EXAMINER | | |
| 2281 W. 190TH STREET SUITE 200 TORRANCE, CA 90504 | | | FAYYAZ, NASHMIYA SAQIB | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | 2856 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/22/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

inskeepstaff@inskeeplaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/693,017 | HITT ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Nashmiya S. Fayyaz | 2856 | | |

| | Nashmiya S. Fayyaz | 2856 | |
|---|---|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence addr | ess |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>07 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid aband t, or other evidence, wh with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | Ivisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejectior | ٦. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slipset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply original. | of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office | te extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | sideration and/or search (see NO v); er form for appeal by materially re | TE below); ducing or simplifying th | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.114. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). | 6 and 41.33(a)). 1. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (P | • |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.6-13.48-66. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 15-22. | | l be entered and an ex | planation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | sufficient reasons why the affidav | it or other evidence is r | necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fails | to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but | | · | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (label{eq:13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Hezron Williams/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2856 | /N. S. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 2856 | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: he proposed amendments to the claims including deletions and the insertion of new limitations presenting a new combination for the claims which would raise new issues which would require further search and/or consideration.